UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/709,828	06/01/2004	Thomas V. Taylor	TAY-16	3827
	7590 06/26/200 LUNDEEN, PLLC	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 13114	14	ANDERSON, GREGORY A		
HOUSTON, TX 77219-1144			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3773	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/26/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ekonokat@aol.com marcee@lpats.com dan@lpats.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/709,828	TAYLOR ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	GREGORY ANDERSON	3773		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron tute, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ TI Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matters, pr			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7,9,10 and 13-23 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) 10 and 13-23 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 4 and 9 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to by the he drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is objection.	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 04102009.	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date		

Application/Control Number: 10/709,828 Page 2

Art Unit: 3773

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-3 and 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Taylor 20010020189.

Taylor discloses a device comprising: inner 87 and outer 83 elements defining an ingestion chamber with a proximal entry opening and a distal exit opening to limit a rate of efflux (Fig. 5); a plurality of openings in the outer element for protrusion of stomach lining to the inner element (Fig. 5); wherein the inner element includes a plurality of retention members 27 to hold the protruding stomach lining, whereby the device is secured to the stomach lining; and wherein the inner and outer elements are frustoconically tapered from a relatively larger proximal radius to a relatively smaller distal radius (Fig. 5). Taylor further discloses the inner and outer elements comprise nested baskets (Fig. 5). Taylor further discloses the openings are formed by a mesh in the outer element (Fig. 5). The inner and outer elements are inherently rotatable to some degree with respect to each other. The retention members are inherently releasable. Taylor further discloses a distal end ring in the outer element, and a proximal end ring in the inner element (Fig. 7).

Allowable Subject Matter

Application/Control Number: 10/709,828 Page 3

Art Unit: 3773

3. Claims 4 and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

- 4. Claims 10, and 13-23 are allowed.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Taylor fails to disclose a plurality of spikes mounted tangentially on the inner basket adjacent mesh openings in the outer basket. The closest prior art is Cassell 6,666,873 in which flexible spikes are used to attach an anastomotic ring to tissue, however, these spikes are not actually tangentially mounted and are instead flexible in a tangential direction. Taylor further fails to disclose a vacuum source and applying a vacuum to the connector to draw stomach lining into the plurality of openings in the outer element, nor a pressure source and applying pressure to the connector to disengage stomach lining from the retention members and the openings int eh outer element. The prior art of record and the prior art at large, alone or in combination, does not remedy the deficiencies of Taylor and thus the claims are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10 April 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the device of Taylor is not a food intake limiting device. Examiner disagrees; the device of Taylor meets the structural limitations of claim 1 and, in use, would limit the amount of food capable of passing through its restricted opening. Applicant argues that the device of Taylor is not secured to the stomach lining. Examiner disagrees; As shown in figure 11 of the reference, the device

protrudes out of the esophagus into the stomach and thus is secured to the stomach lining.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GREGORY ANDERSON whose telephone number is (571)270-3083. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 9:30am-3:00pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, jackie Ho can be reached on 571-272-4696. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/709,828 Page 5

Art Unit: 3773

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Gregory A Anderson/

/(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3773